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Abstract—The internet and smartphone penetrations continue to rise reaching large percentages of the world populations. Likewise, many Jordanians are actively communicating through the popular social networks and mobile phone messages. There are large questions and concerns related to the characteristics and quality of the language used in these forums and how to improve it. This study addresses these issues by collecting and analyzing a large sample of text from five sources: Facebook, Twitter, news sites, blogging sites, and mobile phone short messages. We analyzed the sample comprehensively including the sender, context, message, channel, and code. We present in this paper the results related to the used language, alphabet, dialect, text components, and style. The study concludes that the bilingualism problem is manifested in Twitter and Facebook with 24% and 14% of contributions in English, respectively. Moreover, 6.4% of the analyzed Arabic samples have English words and 13.2% are written in Arabizi (Arabic in English letters and numerals). The diglossia problem is manifested as 55.4% of the sample is in colloquial Arabic, 36.4% in the standard Arabic, and 8.2% in standard with some colloquial words.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The internet, social networks, mobile phones, and smartphone penetrations are increasing year after year globally [1-3]. The Arab World and Jordan are no exceptions. More and more people are accessing the internet and social networks through their computers and smartphones. In 2014, Jordan has reached internet and mobile phone penetrations of 74% and 147%, respectively [4]. Many Jordanians are actively communicating through social networks and mobile phones. The penetration of famous social networks in Jordan such as Facebook, LinkedIn, and Twitter has reached 47.9%, 5.0%, and 2.4%, respectively [5]. In fact, Facebook is the top internet site visited in Jordan [2] and Jordanians send more than 11 million tweets monthly and have exchanged over 2.5 billion short messages last year [4]. These rates are expected to continue rising due to the rising smartphone penetration and the increasing popularity of free messaging services such as WhatsApp, Skype, and Viber.

There are also many indicators that the number and percentage of internet contributions in the Arabic language through these forums in the Arab World are increasing [5]. However, there are concerns about the quality and type of the Arabic language used in these forums and how the internet affects the language and vice versa [6].

This paper summarizes our study of the status of the Arabic language that Jordanians use in social networks and mobile phone communications. The main objectives of this study are to find the main characteristics of the Arabic language used and to identify the main problems in the quality of the language used. Hopefully, this identification would lead to solutions to improve the quality and effectiveness of Arabic language communications in these forums.

This study incorporated Jakobson’s effective communication model, including the sender, context, message, channel, code, and the receiver [7]. We collected many text
samples and information about their sender, context, and channel from five sources. The five sources are Facebook, Twitter, News sites comments, blogging sites, and mobile phone messaging.

There are several studies that have tackled the subjects of the Arabic language on the internet and mobile phone messaging in several Arabic countries [8-11, 17]. However, this study handles these issues more comprehensively in Jordan by collecting large sample from five sources and analyzing this sample on many aspects as detailed below.

The details of this study are published in a long technical report [18]. This paper summarizes the methodology used in collecting fair and representative sample and analyzing this sample. Moreover, we present the analysis results related to the used language, alphabet, dialect, text components, and style.

Section II summarizes the methodology used including the developed sample collection and analysis application and the sample collection methods from the five study sources. Section III presents the results of the used language, alphabet, dialect, text components, and style. Finally, Section IV summarizes and discusses the main results, identifies three main problems, and suggests some recommendations and future work.

II. METHODOLOGY

In this section, we introduce the methodology used in this study. We describe the application developed to collect and analyze samples. We also describe how samples were collected from the five study sources.

A. Sample Collection and Analysis Application

We have developed a web-based application to facilitate and speed up the processes of sample collection and analysis. This application supports two main roles: sample collector and sample analyzer. Fig. 1 shows the main page used in sample collection. For each sample, the collector uses this page to specify the following fields.

- The sample text and topic
- The URL of the sample source webpage
- Sample source (Facebook, Twitter, News, Blogs, or Messages)
- The author’s age, sex, and education level (if available)

Fig. 2 shows the main page used by the sample analyzer to analyze the lingual characteristics of the collected samples. This page shows the sample text, URL, and number of words. The analyzer analyzes the sample text and uses the nine hyperlinks at the lower right part of this page to enter the analysis for the shown sample.

These nine hyperlinks allow the analyzer to access nine subpages and enter the following analysis information about each sample.

1) Language information (detailed below)
2) Foreign words present in the text
3) Common words used in the text
4) Idiomatic expressions used in the text
5) Foreign prefixes and suffixes
6) Hybrid Arabic/English words
7) Abbreviations
8) Language quality including quality level and spelling, lexical, morphological, and grammatical errors
9) Morphological features

Fig. 3 shows the language information subpage. The analyzer uses this page to specify the following five characteristics of the sample.

1) Language: Arabic, English, or mixed
2) Alphabet: Arabic, English, or Arabizi
3) Dialect: standard, colloquial, or mixed
4) Text components: letters only or letters and symbols used in suggestive writing such as smileys :) and long laugh هههههههههههه .
5) Style: normal, metaphor, cynical, vulgar, or other.

Fig. 3. Language information subpage.

This paper concentrates on the analysis results of this subpage. More detail about these characteristics is in Section III.

B. Sample Collection Method

We have collected many samples from the five study sources. The collection method aimed at collecting a fair and representative sample. The following subsections describe how this sample was collected from the five study sources.

1) Facebook

Facebook is the top visited Internet site in Jordan [2]. Facebook allows its users to update their statuses, upload photos or videos, post on the walls of other users, and share and comment on almost anything posted by other users. We have collected samples of the text of the following Facebook contributions.

- Status update
- Photo or video upload description
- Posting on other’s wall
- Added text of a shared contribution
- Comment on any of the above contributions

These contributions are usually related to three sources: a user account, a group of users, or a page of some organization, product, fans, etc. We have collected 2,507 samples of the above contributions as detailed in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facebook Source</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Number of Samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User accounts</td>
<td>100 users</td>
<td>986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups</td>
<td>27 groups</td>
<td>752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pages</td>
<td>7 pages</td>
<td>769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The user account samples were drawn from the walls of about 100 user accounts of Jordanian users. These accounts were randomly selected using the Facebook find friends feature by specifying the current city as one of the Jordanian cities. However, this search feature is biased to the user’s likely connections. To overcome this bias, we created a fresh Facebook account filled with minimal information and with no connections to get unbiased search results.

The group samples were drawn from 27 representative Jordanian Facebook groups. The interests of these groups include academic, family/tribal, cultural, political, religious, trading, sports, and hobbies.

The page samples were drawn from seven Jordanian Facebook pages that have large numbers of followers according to the lists of the Social Bakers site [12]. As we are interested in samples from normal users, we ignored contributions from page administrators and only collected contributions of posts by others.

2) Twitter

Twitter is the second most popular social networking site in Jordan [2]. Users in Twitter contribute by sending tweets. Each tweet is limited to 140 characters and users view the tweets of the users they follow. We have collected the information of 1,514 tweets using Twitter’s advanced search feature. In order to collect fair and representative sample, we collected the samples that satisfy the following criteria.

- Original tweet, not retweet
We have collected 2,502 from 141 volunteers most of them are male and female students from Jordanian universities. However, as the volunteers provided the messages they have received (not sent), the sample represents a larger segment of the Jordanian population.

III. RESULTS

In this section, we present the language information analysis of this study. The following subsections present the results found about the used language, alphabet, dialect, components, and style on the five study sources. We also comment on these results and provide some explanations.

A. Language

The language of the text Jordanians use in the five study sources is Arabic, English, or mixed Arabic and English. This study concentrated on the samples that use either Arabic or mixed language. However, we have counted the number of samples encountered in this study that use pure English. In Facebook and Twitter, 14% and 24% of the users’ contributions are in English, respectively.

Fig. 4 shows the distribution of samples that are not in English. The figure also shows the average of the distribution of the five sources. More than 95% of these samples are in Arabic and less than 5% are in Arabic with some English words or phrases. The lowest percentages of mixed language are observed in the News and Blogs (0.8% and 2.8%) and the largest percentages are in Twitter and Messages (7.3% and 6.4%).

We explain the differences in using the mixed language among the five sources by the following points.

- The generally formal communication using news comments and blogs involves better attention to the language and using fewer foreign words.
- As tweets are limited to 140 characters each, the users strive to express their ideas with minimal characters and often use special Twitter features such as @name to draw the attention of some user and #keyword to hash tag their post with the intended subject’s keyword.
• The entry difficulties in mobile phones (explained further in the next subsection) result in using higher percentage of English words in Messages.

B. Alphabet

Arabic is usually written using its alphabet that has 28 basic letters [20]. However, due to technical issues, some writers write Arabic using English letters. Currently, many people write Arabic using English letters and numerals. This writing style is called Arabizi [16]. Basically, The Arabic letters that have English counterparts are written using their English counterparts, e.g., ‘s’ for Arabic Seen (س) and ‘b’ for Beh (ب). The rest Arabic letters are written using English letter combinations, e.g., ‘th’ for Thal (ذ) and ‘sh’ for Sheen (ش), or using numerals that are closest to them in shape, e.g., ‘3’ for Ain (ع) and ‘7’ for Hah (ه).

Fig. 5 shows the distributions of the samples according to the alphabet used. On average, 86.8% of the samples are written using the Arabic alphabet and 13.2% are written using the Arabizi alphabet. Again, News and Blogs have the lowest percentages of Arabizi (0.3% and 4.4%) and Messages has the largest percentage (31.0%). We explain these results as follows:

• The users involved in News, Blogs, and Twitter are usually more sophisticated and have better language skills that enable them to express themselves effectively in proper Arabic.

• Communication through Messages and Facebook is generally less formal and many users often pay less attention to their language especially during casual and daily communications.

• Moreover, entering text through mobile phones is harder than through computers. Many young Jordanians are skilled in entering fast English messages through their mobile phones. They also often enter their Arabic messages using the same skills, resulting in the high Arabizi percentage.

C. Dialect

Fig. 6 shows the distributions of the samples according to the dialect used. On average, more than one half the samples (55.4%) are using the colloquial Jordanian Arabic, above one third (36.4%) use the standard Arabic, and the rest (8.2%) use the standard Arabic with some colloquial words.

For the reasons mentioned above, Messages and Facebook have the highest percentages of colloquial and mixed dialects (79.3% and 75.3%) because they are closer to casual communication. Whereas, News and Blogs have the highest percentages of standard Arabic (67.2% and 56.9%). Recall that the results of the Blogs include the blogs and their comments. When concentrating on the blogs without their comments, we notice that the percentages of standard, mixed, and colloquial are improved at 62%, 27%, and 11%, respectively.

The relatively high percentage of the mixed language in News reflects that the people involved in these comments generally prefer using standard Arabic. However, they often resort to inserting some colloquial words that they feel will enhance conveying their ideas and feelings.

D. Text Components

Fig. 7 shows that an average of 79.2% of the samples uses standard letters and characters and 20.8% additionally use special symbols and suggestive visual writing. The highest percentages of this mixed writing are in Twitter, Facebook, and Messages at 37.3%, 22.1%, and 19.2%, respectively.

This mixed writing includes using special character sequences to express feelings such as :) for happy face, :( for sad face, and <3 for love heart. Some sites, like Facebook, automatically convert such sequences to nice images like 😊, 😞, and ❤️. Moreover, some applications, like WhatsApp, have special menus and keyboard layouts to facilitate entering these popular drawings. This mixed writing also includes repeating some letters to give some suggestive expression such as اااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااااa for long laugh, خخخ for cynical laugh, and ـ for wonder.
This mixed writing allows the users of Twitter, Facebook, and Messages to express their feelings efficiently with small number of characters. For example, instead of writing “I feel happy” one can enter :) As the figure suggests, this mixed text is more common in personal communication. It complements the text messages directed to others to include feelings that the body language usually reflects in face-to-face communication. Therefore, this mixed text is less common in the formal communication of News and Blogs.

E. Language Style

Fig. 8 shows the distributions of the samples according to the rhetorical style used. On average, 82.6% of the samples use the normal or plain style. This is expected as most of these samples are related to direct day-to-day communications. However, Twitter users that are usually educated and pay good attention to their tweets often use the metaphor and cynical styles at 17.5% and 17.1%, respectively.

The cynical style is also common in Facebook and News at 14.1% and 7.6%, respectively. We think that this is an interesting phenomenon worth of further investigation. We wonder how this phenomenon is related to the hard Jordanian political, economic, and social situations.

Finally, the percentage of the vulgar style is low at an average of 1.9%. This indicates that most contributions observe politeness and good manners. However, Facebook has the highest percentage of this style at 3.8%.

IV. Discussion and Conclusions

In this paper, we have described the methodology used in a comprehensive study of the status of the Arabic language in the social networking forums and mobile phone messaging. This study collected a large sample of 8,538 Jordanians’ contributions in the five study sources: Facebook, Twitter, News, Blogs, and Messages. These samples were analyzed taking into consideration Jakobson’s communication model.

This paper presents the study results related to the code and some message aspects, specifically the results of the language information analysis. We can summarize the results for each source as follows.

- **Facebook** has significant percentages of messages in Arabizi and colloquial Arabic at 8.2% and 67.7%, respectively. Users often include symbols in their text (22.1%) and mainly use the normal and cynical styles (78.9% and 14.1%).

- **Twitter** has about 24% of the tweets in English and 7.3% of the remaining tweets are in Arabic with English words. Arabizi and colloquial percentages are also significant at 7.9% and 50.1%, respectively. Twitter users show the highest use of symbols (37.3%) and the highest use the metaphor and cynical styles (17.5% and 17.1%).

- **News** has the lowest percentages of message in Arabic with English words, using Arabizi, and with symbols at 0.8%, 0.3%, and 6.8%, respectively. However, it has highest percentages of messages in standard Arabic and standard Arabic with some colloquial words at 67.2% and 17.9%, respectively.

- **Blogs** also has low percentages of message in Arabic with English words, using Arabizi, and with symbols at 2.8%, 4.4%, and 15.3%, respectively. And high percentages of messages in standard Arabic and standard Arabic with colloquial words at 56.9% and 13.3%, respectively.

- **Messages** has the highest percentages of messages using Arabizi, in colloquial Arabic, and in the normal style at 31.0%, 75.9%, and 93.4%, respectively. The use of symbols is also high at 19.2%.

These results show that there are the following three problems related to the status of the Arabic language on these forums.

- **Bilingualism Problem**: In addition to Arabic, English is highly present in these forums. The contributions of Jordanians in Twitter and Facebook are 24% and 14% in English, respectively. Moreover, the analyzed Arabic contributions from the five sources show that
6.4% of the messages have English words and 13.2% are in Arabizi.

- **Diglossia Problem:** The colloquial Arabic is common in conversation and casual communications. The standard Arabic, on the other hand, is used in formal communications. These dual dialects were observed in the five study sources at averages of 55.4% in colloquial Arabic, 36.4% in standard Arabic, and 8.2% in standard with colloquial Arabic.

- **Linguistic Weakness Problem:** This problem is not presented in this paper, but the study shows that there is high percentage of contributions that have weak Arabic language. This weakness is manifested in large rates of spelling, lexical, morphological, and grammatical errors.

We think that these problems can be mitigated by technical and nontechnical solutions, including legislative, informational, and educational solutions. The technical solutions should concentrate on improving how Arabic text is efficiently entered, especially on mobile phones. Moreover, there is a great need to improve the operating systems and applications’ support of the Arabic language. Arabic spell and grammar errors are needed to improve the operating systems and applications’ support of this research.

Finally, and as ideas for future work, we are interested in studying these issues in other Arabic countries and even for Arab communities in foreign countries. Moreover, we are interested in monitoring these issues over time and studying the effect of technological advancements in smartphones and communications on these issues.
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